LGST 100: Ethics and Social Responsibility
Robert Hughes
Spring 2020 DRAFT Syllabus

Instructor email: hughesrc@wharton.upenn.edu
Office hours: Huntsman 668, Time TBD

Course Description

Some ways of pursuing a profit in business are uncontroversially wrong. Nearly everybody agrees that it is wrong (as well as illegal) to operate a Ponzi scheme or to program cars to turn off pollution controls when they are not undergoing an emissions test. But businesspeople often face difficult ethical choices about which there is no clear and generally accepted social standard.

This course has three goals. One is to make you more familiar with some of the ethical questions you may face in business. Greater familiarity with the issues will help you to recognize ethical problems lurking in places you may have thought were safe. A second goal is to give you some facility in philosophical reasoning about ethics and to show you how reasoning in this way about hard choices, rather than relying on gut feeling or others' opinions, can be valuable. The third goal is to improve your skills at communicating about ethics. These include the skill of explaining your own views and arguments clearly as well as the ability to listen to others' views and arguments charitably.

Here are some of the ethical questions we will discuss:

- Should businesses undertake socially valuable projects when doing so probably will not maximize short-term or long-term profits?
- When, if ever, is it ethically acceptable to speak insincerely in business negotiations, in advertising, or in communication with employees?
- Can an employment contract or a financial contract be wrongfully exploitative even if it is consensual and beneficial to both parties?
- Must corporations do anything beyond what the law clearly requires to avoid harming the environment?
- Must employers do anything beyond what the law clearly requires to avoid discrimination and to accommodate employees with disabilities?
• Are businesses always morally required to obey the law, even when the law is unenforced or under-enforced? Should businesses obey unjust laws?
• Is it wrong for a business to rely on property rights established by an unjust regime?
• Are there reasons to regard some types of work as more meaningful than others?

Readings

All readings for the course are available electronically. Most of them will be available via the library's electronic course reserves. You may access e-reserves for the course via Canvas.

Assignments and Grading

15% Questions on the Reading
15% Class participation
15% First paper (1300-1600 words, due Friday, February 21, at 2PM)
25% Second paper (1500-1800 words, due Friday, April 3 at 2PM)
30% Final exam (at the time appointed by the Registrar)

To help guide you through the readings, I will ask you to write out short answers to a few questions on each of the reading assignments. Answers to questions on the reading are due on Canvas before every class except for the first session, which has no reading assignment. Answers to questions on the reading will be graded S/U. To get full credit for the “Questions on the Reading” part of your grade, you will need to turn in answers on most class days. You may skip five sets without penalty, though you are still responsible for doing the reading on days that you skip the QR assignment. (Since there are twenty-six days with reading assignments, you will have to turn in 21 QR assignments to get full credit.) I will not give written feedback on these assignments, but you are welcome and encouraged to discuss your answers to questions on the reading with me in office hours. We will always discuss the questions on the reading in class.

Papers should be submitted to Canvas and automatically checked with Turnitin. Late papers will be penalized 1/3 of a letter grade per day late, including
weekend days. Answers to questions on the reading must be submitted on time to receive credit.

Grades for all assignments will be on an absolute scale. I will not use a curve. The main criteria for the evaluation of papers will be the clarity of the writing and organization, the accuracy and charity of the presentation of others' views and arguments, and the effectiveness of critical discussion.

Grades for participation will be based on both the frequency and the quality of active participation, with an emphasis on quality. Comments in class do not have to be true or deep to be helpful. Any effort to help the class think through the material is potentially valuable, including mistakes, tentative suggestions, devil's advocacy, and requests for clarification. That said, it will probably not help your participation grade to bullshit, i.e., to speak with the aim of sounding good without caring whether you are saying something true. It also will not help your grade to pretend to have read the day's readings when you haven't or to be hyper-aggressive. To ensure that all students get opportunities to speak, I will not always call on the first students to raise their hands.

Regular attendance is required. A large number of unexcused absences (more than three) may result in a failing grade for the course.

**Academic Integrity**

Information on academic integrity will be available on the course website. Students are expected to know and comply with University regulations regarding academic integrity.

If you use or discuss someone else's ideas—including ideas you get from discussing the course with classmates, friends, or family—you must cite the source. If you use someone else's words, you must clearly identify the quotation as a quotation, and you must cite the source. You are always welcome to speak with me about when it is necessary to cite a source. If you must make a last-minute decision about citation, it is better to cite too much rather than too little.
Dual submissions are not allowed in this course. No part of any assignment for this course may consist of work that you (or others) have submitted for assignments in other courses, at the University of Pennsylvania or elsewhere.

Though you are welcome and encouraged to discuss the readings with other students in the course, you should write up answers to the “Questions on the Reading” assignments on your own.

**Classroom Policies**

A recent study indicates that students perform better on conceptual questions if they take notes longhand, rather than typing. (This is true even if students are not attempting to multitask.) Partly for this reason, I will ask you to refrain from using electronic devices in class, including laptops, and you should silence devices before class begins. If you have a disability that requires you to take notes on a computer, or if you have really bad handwriting, come talk to me. Whenever there are large blocks of text you will need in your notes, I will provide printed copies.

I will ask you to sit according to a seating chart, which we will set in week 2. I will also ask you display name cards. We will sometimes have small group discussions in class. I may at some point ask you to change your seating arrangements so that you can have group discussions with different sets of students.

**Faculty Lunches**

I will arrange group lunches with students through the Wharton Meals Program. I invite and encourage you to sign up on Canvas. These lunches are voluntary, and there is no charge to students.

**Tentative Schedule of Readings**

For some reading assignments, you will not have to read every page of the article or chapter that is posted online. Please look at the daily “Questions on the Reading” assignment on Canvas (even if you are not writing up answers that day) for page numbers and advice about where to focus.
Unit 1: Ethical Requirements and Social Norms

January 16: Introduction to the course (no assigned reading)

January 21: Social pressures to act rightly
  “A Mucky Business”
  Excerpt from Immanuel Kant, *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*

January 23: Are people necessarily selfish? Should we be?
  Joel Feinberg, “Psychological Egoism”
  Mengzi (Mencius) 2A6
  Colin Camerer and Richard Thaler, “Anomalies: Ultimatums, Dictators, and Manners”

January 28: Is morality entirely relative to prevailing cultural opinion?
  James Rachels, “The Challenge of Cultural Relativism”
  Andrew Kramer, “IKEA Tries to Build Public Case Against Russian Corruption”

Unit 2: Corporate Social Responsibility

January 30: The shareholder theory of corporate responsibility
  Milton Friedman, “The Social Responsibility of Business is to Increase its Profits”

February 4: Shareholders and Stakeholders
  Business Roundtable, “Statement on the Purpose of a Corporation”
  R. Edward Freeman, “Ending the So-Called ‘Friedman-Freeman Debate”
  Lynn A. Stout, “The Problem of Corporate Purpose”

February 6: The market failures approach
  Joseph Heath, “Business Ethics without Stakeholders”

February 11: Social contract theory
  Thomas Donaldson, “Constructing a Social Contract for Business”
Unit 3: Lying, Deception, and Moral Theory

February 13: Hard cases of deception; the utilitarian approach
  Case study (to be posted on Canvas)
  Jeremy Bentham, excerpt
  John Stuart Mill, excerpt from Utilitarianism

February 18: Utilitarianism is not egoism
  Peter Singer, “Famine, Affluence, and Morality”

February 20: Another approach to hard cases: Kantianism
  David Velleman, “A Brief Introduction to Kantian Ethics”

February 25: Kantianism, lying, and deception
  Charles Fried, excerpt from Right and Wrong

Unit 4: Exploitation

February 27: A defense of sweatshop labor
  Matt Zwolinski, “Sweatshops, Choice, and Exploitation”

March 3: Moral criticisms of sweatshop labor
  Chris Meyers, “Wrongful Beneficence: Exploitation and Third World Sweatshops”

March 5: Exploitation in financial and medical contexts
  Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co.
  Gretchen Morgenson, “Inside the Countrywide Lending Spree”
  Andrew Pollack and Sabrina Tavernise, “Valeant’s Drug Price Strategy Enriches It, but Infuriates Patients and Lawmakers”

SPRING BREAK
Unit 5: Responsibility for the Environment

March 17: An argument against corporate environmental responsibility  
  Norman Bowie, “Morality, Money, and Motor Cars”

March 19: An argument for corporate environmental responsibility  
  Arnold and Bustos, “Business, Ethics, and Global Climate Change”

March 24: Why good environmental behavior is difficult to motivate  
  Chrisoula Andreou, “Environmental Damage and the Puzzle of the Self-Torturer”

Unit 6: Discrimination

March 26: Disparate treatment and disparate impact  
  Sophia Moreau, “What is Discrimination?”

March 31: Discrimination as an expressive wrong  
  Deborah Hellman, Chapter 1 of What Makes Discrimination Wrong

April 2: Beyond the law: accommodating difference in the workplace  
  Kenji Yoshino, “The Pressure to Cover”

Unit 7: Property and the Moral Duty to Obey the Law

April 7: Moral reasons to follow the law  

April 9: Responding to controversial laws (No written QR—religious holidays)  
  Carson Young, “Putting the Law in its Place”
  “Uber faces $300,000 Fine, Court Case from Philadelphia Regulators”

April 14: Are property rights natural rights or creations of law?  
  John Locke, “Of Property” (Second Treatise of Government, Chapter V)  
  The Globe and Mail, “Barrick’s Tanzanian project tests ethical mining policies”
Unit 8: Meaningful Work

(Note: The readings by Aristotle and Marx are unusually challenging. I encourage you to do the “Questions on the Reading” assignments for these readings.)

April 16: How work shapes us
  Mary Wollstonecraft, excerpt from *Vindication of the Rights of Women*
  Adina Schwartz, “Meaningful Work”

April 21: The place of work in a good life

April 23: Work to live or live to work?
  Karl Marx, “Alienated Labor”

April 28: How to avoid running your workplace as a Communist dictatorship
  Elizabeth Anderson, “Private Government” (Tanner Lecture 2)